Table 2. Selected interatomic bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

| W-P(1) | 2.481 (3) | W-C(1) | 1.993 (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 2.533 (4) | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.968 (8) |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 2.439 (3) | W-C(3) | 2.018 (9) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(231)$ | 1.876 (8) | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(231)$ | 1.845 (8) |
| (P-C(phenyl) ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 1.836 (5) | (P(1)-C(phenyl) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1.846 (5) |
| (C-O) | 1.154 (8) | $\mathrm{C}(232)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1.471 (11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(231)-\mathrm{C}(232)$ | 1.544 (10) | $\mathrm{C}(233)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 1.583 (17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(233)-\mathrm{C}(234)$ | $1 \cdot 377$ (11) | C(234)-C(235) | $1 \cdot 292$ (26) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | 99.1 (2) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 165.1 (1) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 67.9 (2) |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 99.2 (3) | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 93.5 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $169 \cdot 2$ (2) | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(231)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ | 96.6 (4) |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(231)-\mathrm{C}(232)$ | $120 \cdot 1$ (6) | $\mathrm{P}(3)-\mathrm{C}(231)-\mathrm{C}(232)$ | 119.7 (6) |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 172.8 (6) | $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $175 \cdot 0$ (5) |
| $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 175.4 (5) | $\mathrm{C}(232)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(233)$ | $103 \cdot 7$ (9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(231)-\mathrm{C}(232)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $108 \cdot 8$ (7) |  |  |

one P atom of the chelated diphosphine and a carbonyl trans to the other. The W-C distances are not significantly different at 1.993 (8), 1.968 (8) and 2.018 (9) $\AA$ and are comparable to those in other tungsten(0) carbonyl complexes (Blagg, Hutton, Shaw \& Thornton-Pett, 1985); the two W-P(vdpp) distances are significantly different: $2 \cdot 439$ (3) $\AA$ (trans to P ) and 2.533 (4) $\AA$ (trans to C), and reflect the differing trans influence of the phosphine and carbonyl ligands. Because of the bite restriction of the diphosphine chelate the equatorial valence angles at the W atom are not equal, ranging from $67 \cdot 9$ (2) to $99 \cdot 2(3)^{\circ}$, although the W atom remains in the plane defined by the three $\mathbf{P}$ atoms (sum of angles $359 \cdot 7^{\circ}$ ). The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distance of the diphosphine is
$1.544(10) \AA$ in contrast to the same distance in free vdpp $1-327$ (6) $\AA$ (Schimdbaur, Herr \& Riede, 1985). The angle $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(231)-\mathrm{P}(3)$ is considerably reduced from $119 \cdot 0$ (3) to 96.6 (4) ${ }^{\circ}$; the C atom does not retain its planar $s p^{2}$ configuration upon addition since the deviation of this atom from the plane of its attached atoms $[\mathrm{P}(3), \mathrm{P}(2)$ and $\mathrm{C}(232)]$ is $0.486(6) \AA$. The angle at $\mathrm{C}(232)[\mathrm{C}(231)-\mathrm{C}(232)-\mathrm{N}(1)]$, an initially $s p^{2} \mathrm{C}$ atom, is now 108.8 (7) ${ }^{\circ}$, typical of an $s p^{3}$ configuration. Steric effects are apparent for two of the carbonyl groups which are bent slightly away from the diphosphine chelate leading to a $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ angle of $169 \cdot 2$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$.
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#### Abstract

Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right], \quad M_{r}=720 \cdot 86\), monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n, a=10 \cdot 459$ (2), $b=13 \cdot 592$ (2), $c=$ 20.927 (2) $\AA, \beta=90.46(1)^{\circ}, V=2974.8$ (7) $\AA^{3}, Z=$ $4, D_{x}=1.61 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \quad \lambda($ Мо $K \alpha)=0.71069 \AA, \mu=$ $7.89 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, F(000)=1448, T=296 \mathrm{~K}, R=0.069$ for 3195 unique data with $I>3 \sigma(I)$. The refinement of the structure was complicated by disorder of one of the trifluoromethyl groups, which was treated by the introduction of two partially occupied rigid rotors. The molecular structure consists of a pseudo-square plane with remarkably short Pd - P bonds [2.219 (3)


and 2.233 (3) $\AA$ ] and a narrow $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}$ angle [ $\left.84.4(4)^{\circ}\right]$, which are ascribed to the weak trans influence of the trifluoroacetate and the strong trans influence of the phosphine.

Introduction. We have conducted the synthesis of a simple molecular palladium trifluoroacetate, [ $\left.\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{PPh}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CCF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$, which is of interest as a catalyst and cluster precursor, stabilized by the dppm ligand (McAuliffe, 1988; Puddephatt, 1983). This strategy has already been used for the construc(C) 1990 International Union of Crystallography

Table 1. Fractional positional and equivalent isotropic thermal paremeters

| $B_{\text {eq }}=\left(8 \pi^{2} / 3\right) \sum_{i} \sum_{j} U_{i j} a_{i}{ }^{*} a_{j}^{*}{ }^{*} \mathbf{a}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j}$. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{\text {eq }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| Pd | 0.15867 (8) | $0 \cdot 20552$ (7) | $0 \cdot 20242$ (4) | 2.71 (4) |
| Pl | 0.3644 (3) | 0.2103 (3) | $0 \cdot 2290$ (1) | 2.9 (1) |
| P2 | 0.2634 (3) | 0.2552 (3) | $0 \cdot 1157$ (1) | 2.8 (1) |
| F1* | 0.031 (1) | 0.1317 (6) | 0.4160 (4) | 6.5 (3) |
| F2* | -0.045 (1) | -0.0085 (3) | 0.3880 (5) | 7.1 (4) |
| F3* | -0.1419 (4) | 0.1245 (6) | 0.3603 (5) | 7.4 (4) |
| F1A $\dagger$ | -0.135216 | 0.033353 | 0.360139 | 10 (1) |
| F2A $\dagger$ | 0.037872 | 0.040555 | 0.415837 | 13 (1) |
| F3A $\dagger$ | -0.058739 | 0.173560 | 0.388315 | 12 (1) |
| F4* | -0.275 (1) | 0.1619 (6) | 0.1392 (5) | 8.4 (4) |
| F5* | -0.247 (1) | 0.3172 (5) | 0.1521 (4) | 8.9 (4) |
| F6* | -0.253 (1) | 0.2560 (8) | 0.0576 (2) | 7.0 (3) |
| F4A $\dagger$ | -0.241729 | 0.328186 | 0.093407 | 10 (1) |
| F5A ${ }^{+}$ | -0.263102 | 0.234099 | 0.175059 | $5 \cdot 7$ (5) |
| F6A ${ }^{\dagger}$ | -0.269654 | 0.172907 | 0.080485 | 11 (1) |
| 01 | 0.0821 (9) | 0.1757 (6) | 0.2917 (4) | $3 \cdot 9$ (4) |
| 02 | 0.087 (1) | 0.0106 (9) | 0.2814 (6) | 8.1 (8) |
| 03 | -0.0292 (8) | 0.2172 (7) | 0.1739 (4) | 4.5 (5) |
| O4 | -0.023 (1) | 0.192 (1) | 0.0704 (6) | 9.3 (9) |
| Cl | 0.412 (1) | 0.283 (1) | 0.1599 (5) | $3 \cdot 2$ (5) |
| C2 | 0.055 (1) | 0.086 (1) | 0.3067 (6) | 4.1 (7) |
| C3 | -0.028 (2) | 0.084 (1) | 0.369 (1) | 7 (1) |
| C4 | -0.074 (1) | $0 \cdot 213$ (1) | $0 \cdot 1192$ (7) | $4 \cdot 2$ (7) |
| C5 | -0.213 (1) | 0.240 (1) | 0.1171 (7) | $4 \cdot 7$ (7) |
| C 11 | 0.443 (1) | 0.090 (1) | 0.2283 (6) | 3.4 (6) |
| C12 | 0.568 (1) | 0.082 (1) | 0.2516 (7) | $4 \cdot 3$ (7) |
| C13 | 0.625 (2) | -0.009 (1) | 0.2481 (8) | 6 (1) |
| C14 | 0.558 (2) | -0.089 (1) | $0 \cdot 2220$ (7) | 5.4 (9) |
| C15 | 0.439 (2) | -0.082 (1) | $0 \cdot 2008$ (7) | 4.4 (7) |
| C16 | $0 \cdot 380$ (1) | 0.007 (1) | 0.2034 (6) | $4 \cdot 2$ (7) |
| C21 | 0.413 (1) | $0 \cdot 2746$ (8) | 0.2993 (6) | $3 \cdot 3$ (6) |
| C22 | 0.347 (1) | 0.261 (1) | 0.3545 (6) | 4.4 (7) |
| C23 | $0 \cdot 389$ (2) | $0 \cdot 302$ (2) | 0.4098 (8) | 7 (1) |
| C24 | 0.499 (2) | $0 \cdot 362$ (2) | 0.4094 (9) | 8 (1) |
| C25 | 0.562 (2) | 0.377 (2) | 0.354 (1) | 8 (1) |
| C26 | 0.519 (2) | 0.333 (1) | 0.2984 (7) | 5.6 (8) |
| C31 | $0 \cdot 210$ (1) | 0.367 (1) | 0.0797 (6) | $3 \cdot 5$ (6) |
| C32 | 0.144 (2) | 0.436 (1) | $0 \cdot 1184$ (7) | 5.4 (8) |
| C33 | 0.106 (2) | 0.526 (1) | 0.0939 (9) | 7 (1) |
| C34 | 0.135 (2) | 0.549 (1) | 0.0319 (8) | 6 (1) |
| C35 | 0.195 (2) | 0.484 (1) | -0.0063 (8) | 7 (1) |
| C36 | $0 \cdot 231$ (2) | 0.393 (1) | 0.0173 (7) | 6 (1) |
| C41 | $0 \cdot 304$ (1) | $0 \cdot 168$ (1) | 0.0539 (5) | $3 \cdot 1$ (5) |
| C42 | 0.234 (1) | 0.087 (1) | 0.0436 (6) | 3.6 (6) |
| C43 | 0.266 (2) | 0.023 (1) | -0.0047 (7) | $5 \cdot 1$ (8) |
| C44 | 0.369 (2) | 0.046 (1) | -0.0438 (7) | 5.3 (8) |
| C45 | 0.436 (1) | 0.126 (1) | -0.0348 (6) | 4.7 (7) |
| C46 | $0 \cdot 409$ (1) | 0.186 (1) | 0.0159 (6) | 3.9 (6) |
| * Occupancy $=0.65$. <br> $\dagger$ Occupancy $=0 \cdot 35$. Positional parameters calculated to be $30^{\circ}$ from the other set of F atoms. |  |  |  |  |

tion of trimetallic palladium and platinum clusters (Ferguson, Lloyd, Manojlovic-Muir, Muir \& Puddephatt, 1986; Lloyd \& Puddephatt, 1984; Manojlovic-Muir, Muir, Lloyd \& Puddephatt, 1983) and we are studying the use of these lower nuclearity compounds directly in the activation of small molecules and the synthesis of heterometallic clusters. The structure contains several remarkable features that demonstrate the effect of the weakly basic trifluoroacetate ligand on structure and bonding.

Experimental. Trifluoroacetate is introduced by the reaction of palladium acetate with two equivalents of the acid in the presence of the diphosphorus ligand in dry methylene chloride. Recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave samples suitable for crystalstructure studies.

Table 2. Selected intramolecular distances and angles Distances are in $\AA$, angles in ${ }^{\circ}$. E.s.d. in the least significant figure is given in parentheses.


A colorless block approximately $0.25 \times 0.40 \times$ 0.30 mm in size was used for data collection on a Rigaku AFC6 diffractometer (Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, graphite monochromator) using $\omega-2 \theta$ scans with a variable scan width $\Delta \omega=0.85^{\circ}+0.30^{\circ} \tan \theta$ and scan rate $32.0^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$. Lattice parameters were determined from 25 reflections in the range $32.8<2 \theta$ $<41 \cdot 4^{\circ}$. The crystal system was confirmed by a check of equivalent reflections and the space-group determination was obtained from an analysis of systematically absent reflections ( $h 0 l: h+l=2 n+1$; $0 k 0: k=2 n+1)$ and Laue symmetry. A total of 5842 reflections, to a maximum $2 \theta$ of $50 \cdot 1^{\circ}$, were collected, $h 0 \rightarrow 18, k 0 \rightarrow 20, l-29 \rightarrow 23$. Of 5521 unique reflections ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.035$ ), $3195 \mathrm{had} I>3 \sigma(I)$ and were used in structure solution and refinement. The structure was solved by Patterson methods (Calabrese, 1972) and the other non-H atoms were located with the DIRDIF program (Beurskens et al., 1984) and Fourier difference techniques. H atoms were included in calculated positions with isotropic thermal parameters at $120 \%$ of the neighboring C atom. After partial refinement of the structure (isotropic thermal parameters) an idealized absorption correction was applied to the data (DIFABS, Walker \& Stuart, 1983; transmission factors 0.891•11).

Full-matrix least-squares refinement of the structure, using the TEXSAN computing package of computer programs (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1987), was conducted on the function $\sum w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\right.$ $\left.\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2}$, where $w=4 F_{o} / \sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)$ (with constraints as noted) until convergence. Neutral-atom scattering factors and anomalous-dispersion effects, for inclusion in $F_{\text {calc }}$, were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974).

It became apparent in the initial stages of refinement that there is severe disorder associated with both $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ groups. It is possible to model this by simply introducing six independent $F$ atoms with $50 \%$ occupancy at each site and allowing them to refine without constraints. Low $R$ values ( $\simeq 5 \%$ ) and small residual peaks $\left(<0.6 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ in Fourier difference maps are thereby obtained, but the structure contains chemically unreasonable bond lengths and angles at the disordered groups. A more chemically reasonable result was obtained, and is reported here, by the introduction of rigid rotors, two per site, with fixed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}$ bond lengths and angles and group occupancies adjusted manually to minimize peaks in the final difference map. Convergence, with refinement of positional and anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-H and non-F atoms, was judged from the non-halide parameters (maximum shift/e.s.d. $<0 \cdot 1$ ); $R=0.069, w R=0 \cdot 102$. The final difference map contains large positive and negative peaks in the region of the $F$ atoms, but no peaks above $0.5 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ occur elsewhere.

Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters for all non- H atoms are given in Table 1, along with occupancies for the atoms in the disordered groups.* Selected bond lengths and angles are presented in Table 2. A drawing of the molecular structure, showing only the major orientation of the disordered groups, is given in Fig. 1.

[^0]

Fig. 1. ORTEP drawing (Johnson, 1965) of $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppm})\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CCF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ showing the major orientation of the F atoms and atomic numbering. Thermal ellipsoids are given at the $30 \%$ probability level. Atomic numbering for the phenyl rings is sequential in the pattern $\mathrm{C}(x 1) \ldots \mathrm{C}(x 6)$, following the scheme indicated for each ring.

Table 3. Selected angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ in $d^{8}\left[\operatorname{Pd}(\right.$ diphosphine $\left.) X_{2}\right]$ complexes

| $\quad$ Complex | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ | $X-\mathrm{Pd}-X$ | Reference |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\left[\mathrm{Pdd}(\mathrm{dppm}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ | $72.68(3)$ | $93.63(3)$ | Steffen \& Palenik (1976) |
| $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppmm})\left(\mathrm{SCN}_{2}\right]\right.$ | $73.33(5)$ | $92.97(7)$ | Palenik, Mathew, Steffen \& Beran (1975) |
| $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppm})\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CCF}_{3}\right)_{2}\right.$ | $73.4(1)$ | $84.5(3)$ | This work |
| $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppe}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ | $85.82(5)$ | $94.19(7)$ | Palenik et al. (1975) |
| $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppp}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ | $90.58(5)$ | $90.78(5)$ | Palenik et al. $(1975)$ |

Discussion. The structure of the bidendate dppm ligand bound to palladium is similar to that in other complexes of this ligand when both $\mathbf{P}$ atoms are bound to a single metal. The Pd-P distances, 2.217 (3) to 2.233 (3) $\AA$, are extraordinarily short for any kind of $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ bond, undoubtedly because of the poor trans influence of the $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CCF}_{3}$ ligand. It is very surprising that the corresponding $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}$ angle is just $84.4(4)^{\circ}$. One would expect the angle to be opened up, as in the case of most of the other planar four-coordinate $d^{8} \mathrm{dppm}$ complexes (McAuliffe, 1988) (Table 3). The reason for the smaller angle trans to the dppm in the present case is unclear, but may be due to the relatively strong trans influence of a phosphine ligand favoring a rigorously trans geometry with a simple and small $\sigma$ donor.

We thank the Research Challenge Fund of New York University for financial support and are grateful to Drs John Dewan and Joseph Ferrara for helpful conversations.
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[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H -atom parameters, bond distances and angles, torsion angles and least-squares-planes details have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 52202 ( 47 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

